IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 307 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1	Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2	To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3	Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
4	Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 of any order made thereunder ?
5	Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

JAYABEN NEMACHAND - Petitioner(s)

Versus

HASMUKH SOJPAR GUTKA - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :

MR RC KAKKAD for Petitioner No(s).: 1 - 1.
None for Respondent No(s).: 1.
MR BP MUNSHI for Respondent No(s).: 1 - 1.
DS AFF.NOT FILED (R) for Respondent No(s).: 1.
RULE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No(s).: 1.

==========

CORAM :THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 21/06/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. Mr. RC Kakkad, learned advocate for the petitioners, under instructions received from the advocate for the petitioners who appeared in the lower court, states that this CRA is arising from the order passed in RCS No.15 of 1995 by the learned Joint Civil Judge (JD), Jamnagar and the said suit has been already disposed of by the lower court. He states that this CRA has therefore become infructuous. He therefore urges that appropriate orders may be passed in this CRA.

2. Since this CRA is arising from the order passed in RCS No.15 of 1995 by the learned Joint Civil Judge (JD), Jamnagar which has been disposed of, this CRA is disposed of as it has become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim relief, if any, stands vacated.

(A.M. Kapadia, J.)

...

(karan)